8	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/768,068	LIANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MARY STEELMAN	2191
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Per</u>	nding
(1) MARY STEELMAN.	(3)	
(2) Benjamin E. Urcia, Reg. No. 33,805.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 September 2007	Time: <u>2PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		,
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	MARY STI PRIMARY E May Stu	EELMAN XAMINER Tue 9.12.2007
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested a terminal disclaimer over co-pending application 10 982932, as noted in first office action. Examiner requested clarification of independent claims / claim language to conform with Applicant's comments in Remarks received 6/22/2007 regarding a different user entering requests to execute different instructions, at different terminal displays, each user and set of instructions specific to one of three terminal displays. Examiner requested clarification of claim language of independent claims to cite location that fourth path points to. Applicant declined to amend.